### <u>REMARKS</u>

## Introduction

In the Office Action of December 16, 2004, Claims 1-32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,029,195 to Herz. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.111, and for the reasons set forth below, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of this application. Prior to discussing the reasons why applicant believes that the claims now pending in this application are allowable, a brief summary of the present invention is provided. The summary is not intended to define the scope or interpretation of any of the claims of this application. Instead, the summary is provided to help the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office better appreciate important claim distinctions between the present invention and the cited references.

# Summary of the Invention

The present invention is directed to a system for generating recommendations. In accordance with one aspect of the invention, a genetic algorithm is utilized to adjust sets of parameters that are used for providing the recommendations. As described with respect to FIGURE 7, beginning on page 15, line 14 of the specification, in one embodiment the blocks 750 and 760 of FIGURE 7 are implemented through a Genetic algorithm that works by evolving the fitness of a population of parameter sets towards ever higher fitness. The population is described as a group of parameters sets used by the system in question. Each parameter set represents one possible solution to the problem at hand. Over time, the more fit solutions are given more chances to breed than are the less fit solutions. The breeding process combines elements of the effective solutions to form new parameter sets. In one embodiment, (which may or may not be implemented in block 760), mutations in the parameter sets allow novel solutions to enter the population, thus allowing the consideration of solutions that were not initially conceived of prior to the training of the system.

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100

In order for the population to evolve in a useful direction, the system that is dependent on

the population must define what it means for an individual parameter set (hereafter referred to as

an individual) to be fit. The fitness value of an individual may not be so important. Rather, the

relative fitness values between individuals in a population may be more important. For the

recommendation engine, in one embodiment the fitness of a given individual is determined by the

percentage of recommendations rated as positive from a given individual parameter set. The user

rating process provides the necessary feedback to the genetic algorithm to determine fitness.

The first task to tackle when using the genetic algorithm is to specify the format of

solutions. Each solution is typically represented by a genome. A genome is a collection of genes.

Each gene represents some piece of information about the solution. In one embodiment, the only

type of gene supported is the floating point gene. This gene is a convenient format for solutions

that are expressed as a set of floating point numbers. In another embodiment, binary genes may be

implemented.

In one example implementation, once the solution format, the genome structure, is

established, the initial seed population may be created. In this particular example, this seed

population may be provided by the user. (In another embodiment, a utility to generate initial

populations is used.) The seed population represents the user's a priori information about the

problem space. If the user is completely ignorant about the solution space, then an initially

random seed population can be used.

The size of the population will depend on the complexity of the problem being solved.

The richer the parameter set, the more individuals the user will need in the initial population to

sample a reasonable segment of the parameter space. In one embodiment, the recommendation

engine maintains a population of about 50 individuals (at times there might be a few less than

50 individuals, however, in this particular example there are generally not more than

-8-

50 individuals).

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup> 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101

206.682.8100

Once the seed population is in place, the population is evolved through a series of epochs. Each epoch contains five key stages: fitness evaluation, death, breeding, mutation, and work. These stages are described in detail below.

The fitness of every individual in the seed population is evaluated using a specified fitness function. The purpose of the fitness function is to form a basis for two decisions made by the genetic algorithm: Will the individual breed? Will the individual survive? The fitness function is the genetic algorithm's main connection to the problem being solved. The fitness function used in the recommendation engine is described in the next section.

After the fitness evaluation, it is time for a death (a.k.a. survival) function to prune the unsuitable individuals from the population. The probability of an individual surviving from one epoch to the next is given by

$$P_{survive}(A) = e^{-\delta(MAX_-FITNESS - f_a)}$$

where  $\delta$  is the survival rate and f is the fitness of the respective individual.

For those who survive the death function, the breeding session begins. The probability of two individuals breeding is determined by two factors. The first is the fitness values of the two individuals. The fitness value represents the environmental bias the system has for an individual finding a mate. For example, in an organic example, the food supply, population densities, health of the individual, etc., all affect the ability of an individual to find a suitable mate. The probability that individuals A and B find each other and mate is given by the joint probability

$$P_{breed}(A,B) = e^{-\alpha(2MAX_{-}FITNESS - f_a - f_b)}$$

where a is the breeding rate and f is the fitness of the respective individual.

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100

The second factor is the individual bias an individual holds for a particular mate. In a human example, such factors as appearance, wealth, personality, etc., might affect an individual's bias towards an individual. In the genetic algorithm, the personal bias can be used for more practical tasks. For instance, in one embodiment individuals could be modified to favor those individuals that are similar to them, but not too similar, when mating. Such behavior is observed in many animal populations and acts to concentrate traits in particular populations. In the genetic algorithm, this effect could be exploited to encourage population clustering. In one embodiment, the personal bias, while it can be implemented in a Genetic Algorithm, is ignored by an individual object. Instead, in such an embodiment the individual would assume that if a proposed mate is fit enough to find him/her/it, he/she/it is good enough.

Once the mating partners are selected, their genes are combined to form a new individual parameter set. The gene combining algorithm, or splicing, is performed by selecting a random point along the genome of an individual and replacing all genes after that point with the genes of the individual's mate.

In one embodiment, a newly minted genome has some probability of undergoing some form of mutation. The probability that a gene is mutated as well as the standard deviation of the mutation probability distribution function is specified by the user. Mutations allow a population to explore new segments of a solution space. Without mutations, a population's characteristics would eventually freeze. However, mutations can destroy quality solutions. Therefore, the mutation rate and depth should be chosen carefully. In one example, typical mutation rates are between 1 and 10 mutations per 1000 genes evaluated. The mutation depth for a binary gene is in some cases considered to be irrelevant; if the gene mutates, it becomes its complement. For floating point genes, in one example the mutation depth could be on the order of a few percent. In other words, the value of the floating point number changes by a few percent.

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100

Finally, the population is available for work. At this point the system retrieves the population and uses the information encoded in the genomes of the individuals to accomplish some task. In the case of the recommendation engine, the task is generating recommendations for various media items, such as illustrated at block 720 of FIGURE 7. Following the work stage, a new epoch is entered and the process is repeated. Thus, the routine 700, and the use of the Genetic Algorithm at blocks 750 and 760, allows the recommendation engine to produce parameter sets which evolve over time based on user behavior.

## Summary of the Cited References

#### U.S. Patent No. 6,029,195 to Herz

Herz discloses a system for customized electronic identification of desirable objects. The desirable objects are described as including items such as news articles. The system automatically constructs both a "target profile" for each target object based on the frequency with which each word appears in an article relative to its overall frequency of use in all articles, as well as a "target profile interest summary" for each user, which describes the user's interest level in various types of target objects. The system then evaluates the target profiles against the user's target profile interest summaries to generate a user-customized rank order listing of target objects most likely to be of interest to each user so that the user can select from among these potentially relevant target objects, which were automatically selected by the system from the plethora of target objects that are profiled on the electronic media. User's target profile interest summaries can be used to organize the distribution of information in a large scale system consisting of many users interconnected by means of a communication network. Additionally, a cryptographically-based pseudonym proxy server is provided to ensure the privacy of a user's target profile interest summary, by giving the user control over the ability of third parties to access the summary and to identify or contact the user.

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPUC
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100

In contrast to the present invention, Herz does not disclose, teach, or suggest the utilization of a genetic algorithm as part of a recommendation system. Instead, Herz utilizes "quality attributes," that have the normative property that the higher (or in some cases lower) their value, the more interesting a user is expected to find them. (Herz, Col. 19, lines 42-45.) A weight is associated with each attribute. (Herz, Col. 22, line 20.) The filtering system uses relevance feedback to refine its knowledge of user's interests: whenever the filtering system identifies a target object as potentially interesting to a user, the user provides feedback as to whether or not the target object really is of interest. (Herz, Col. 18, lines 16-18.) Applicant asserts that this system does not utilize a genetic algorithm.

## The Claims Distinguished

## Independent Claims 1, 10, 20, and 25

In regard to independent Claims 1, 10, 20, and 25, applicant respectfully submits that Herz fails to teach, disclose, or suggest each element of the amended claims. In particular, Herz fails to teach, suggest, or disclose the utilization of a genetic algorithm for adjusting sets of parameters.

As described above, in one embodiment of the present invention the genetic algorithm is applied to a population of individual parameter sets (in one embodiment there are typically fifty or fewer parameter sets) in order to evolve the population in a useful direction. The population of parameter sets is evolved through a series of epochs. Each epoch includes key stages, including: fitness evaluation, death, breeding, mutation, and work. During the fitness evaluation, every individual parameter set in the seed population is evaluated using a specified fitness function. After the fitness evaluation, a death function prunes unsuitable individual parameter sets from the population. Following the death function, a breeding session begins in which the probability of two individual parameter sets breeding is determined by specified factors. Once the mating partners are selected, their genes are combined to form a new individual parameter set. When a mutation stage is utilized, a specified probability is provided of whether a new parameter set may

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100

undergo some form of mutation. At the end of the process, the population of parameter sets is

available for work. At this point the system retrieves the population and uses the information

encoded in the genomes of the individual parameter sets to generate recommendations for various

media items. Following the work stage, a new epoch is entered and the process is repeated. Thus,

through the use of the genetic algorithm, the recommendation engine is able to produce parameter

sets which evolve over time. Applicant respectfully submits that Herz does not teach, disclose or

suggest the utilization of a genetic algorithm as part of a recommendation system. Accordingly,

applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 102 rejection with regard to

independent Claims 1, 10, 20, and 25.

Claims 12 and 28

In regard to Claims 12 and 28, these claims depend from independent claims that recite the

elements discussed above. Accordingly, applicant asserts that they are allowable for the same

reasons as discussed above.

In addition, applicant points out that these claims recite "wherein the genetic algorithm

executes unworthy parameter sets based on the performance of the recommendations." As

described above, after a fitness evaluation of the parameter sets, a death function is utilized to

prune unsuitable individual parameter sets from the population. As described in the specification

on page 17 at line 1, an equation is given for the probability of an individual parameter set

surviving from one epoch to the next. Applicant respectfully asserts that Herz does not teach,

disclose, or suggest the execution of parameter sets based on the performance of the

recommendations. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C.

§ 102 rejection with regard to Claims 12 and 28.

Claims 13 and 29

In regard to Claims 13 and 29, these claims depend from the independent claims that recite

the elements discussed above. Accordingly, applicant asserts that they are allowable for the same

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLE 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800

Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

reasons as discussed above. In addition, Claims 13 and 29 require "wherein the genetic algorithm

generates new parameter sets from the surviving population."

As described above, following the death function, a breeding session begins. In one

embodiment, the probability of two individuals breeding is determined by two factors. The first is

the fitness values of the two individuals. The second factor is the individual bias that an

individual parameter set holds for another parameter set. As described, in one embodiment

individual parameter sets may be made to favor those other parameter sets that are similar to

themselves, but not too similar, when mating. Once the mating partners are selected, their genes

are combined to form a new individual. Applicant respectfully submits that Herz does not teach,

disclose, or suggest the generation of new parameter sets from a surviving population.

Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 102 rejection with

regard to Claims 13 and 29.

Claims 34, 35, and 43

Claims 34, 35 and 43 are dependent on independent claims that include the above recited

limitations, and are therefore patentable for the same reasons discussed above. In addition,

Claims 34, 35 and 43 require the "mating" of individual parameter sets. As described above, the

mating of individual parameter sets depends on a number of factors. Applicant respectfully

submits that Herz does not teach, disclose, or suggest the "mating" of individual parameter sets.

Claims 36, 37 and 44

Claims 36, 37 and 44 are dependent on independent claims that include the above recited

limitations, and are therefore patentable for the same reasons discussed above. In addition,

Claims 36, 37, and 44 require a survival stage, and an executing component, respectively, for

pruning unsuitable parameter sets from the population. Applicant respectfully submits that Herz

-14-

does not teach, disclose, or suggest a survival stage or an executing component.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup> 1420 Fifth Avenue

1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101

206.682.8100

### <u>Claims 38 and 39</u>

Claims 38 and 39 are dependent on independent claims that include the above recited limitations, and are therefore patentable for the same reasons discussed above. In addition, Claims 38 and 39 require that the initial population of parameter sets be created using either known values, or through a random process, respectively. As described above, in one embodiment a seed population of parameter sets is provided by the user. The seed population represents the user's initially known information about the parameter sets. If the user is completely ignorant about the parameters, then an initially random seed population of parameter sets can be utilized. Applicant respectfully submits that Herz does not teach, disclose, or suggest the creation of an initial population of parameter sets using either known values or a random process.

#### <u>Claims 40 and 45</u>

Claims 40 and 45 are dependent on independent claims that include the above recited limitations, and are therefore patentable for the same reasons discussed above. In addition, Claims 40 and 45 require a stage or component for mutating the parameter sets. As described above, in one embodiment, a newly created parameter set has some probability of undergoing some form of mutation. Mutations allow a population of parameter sets to explore new segments of a solution space. Without mutations, a population's characteristics may eventually freeze. However, the mutation rate should be chosen carefully. For floating point genes, in one example the mutation depth could be on the order of a few percent. In other words, the value of the floating point number changes by a few percent. Applicant respectfully submits that Herz does not teach, disclose, or suggest the utilization of a stage or component for mutating parameter sets.

# Claims 41 and 42

Claims 41 and 42 are dependent on independent claims that include the above recited limitations, and are therefore patentable for the same reasons discussed above. In addition,

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100

Claims 41 and 42 require that floating point, or binary genes, respectively, are utilized by the genetic algorithm. As described above, in one embodiment, each parameter set comprises a set of genes. Each gene represents a piece of information. In one embodiment, the only type of gene supported is a floating point gene. This gene is a convenient format for solutions that are expressed as a set of floating point numbers. In another embodiment, binary genes may be implemented. Applicant respectfully submits that Herz does not teach, disclose or suggest the utilization of floating point or binary genes.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Based on the above-referenced amendments and arguments, applicant respectfully submits that all of the claims of the present application, Claims 1-3, 5, 10, 12-15, 20-23, 25, and 28-45 are allowable over the cited and applied references. Therefore, applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of all of the rejections of the claims and allowance of the present application. If any questions remain, applicant requests that the Examiner contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR

JOHNSON KINDNESSPLA

Tracy S. Powell

Registration No. 53,479

Direct Dial No. 206.695.1786

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the below date.

Date:

assil 27, 2005

TSP/GSF:lal

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPALE
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100